

Journal Name:	<a href="#"><u>Journal of Advances in Food Science &amp; Technology</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAFSAT_12285</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PROXIMATE, PHYSICAL AND SENSORY CHARACTERISTICS OF ENRICHED CAKE PRODUCED FROM WHEAT AND TIGER NUT FLOUR BLENDS</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The paper is relevant and is good for the scientific community as well as society. The use of tigernut with wheat flour will help in removing malnutrition.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes suitable.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes suitable but should be revised as per the correction suggested further for the manuscript.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>The discussion of table 2 has been discussed further Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The paper is relevant and suitable for publication after minor revisions. First the data regarding atleast one more composition with withtigernut more than 20 % should be provided for better understanding. The table 2 regarding sensory data should be discussed thoroughly. The discussion for the values obtained in table 2 should be elaborated and discussed.	<b>The authors pegged the inclusion of tigernut at 20% based on literature search</b>

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Some more new references relevant to the work should be added.	This has been provided
Minor REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Graphs can be plotted for the data obtained in the table for better visuals and understanding.	Authors felt that table and figures should not be used together; hence the used of tables only

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issue in the manuscript.